

Paper Guide for 2010

"Donuts, pizzas and real good looking boys
in Ohio."

Ansatz 1: Assume true that $\text{Aut}(K)$ is a non-empty set.

Ansatz 2: Assume true that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(K)$ then the composition mapping $\alpha \circ \beta$ also belongs to $\text{Aut}(K)$.

$\text{Aut}(K)$ is the set of bijective homomorphisms $\alpha: K \rightarrow K$.

Lets show that the group product of $\text{Aut}(K)$ is by composition:

$$\circ: \text{Aut}(K) \times \text{Aut}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$$

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)(x) = \alpha(\beta(x)).$$

For associativity; composition is always associative by the associative axiom.

For identity; lets define the mapping:

$$\text{Id}_K: K \rightarrow K$$

$$\text{Id}_K(x) = x \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Lets prove that $\text{Id}_K \in \text{Aut}(K)$, obviously it is

bijjective, lets show that Id_K is a homomorphism; for any $x_1, x_2 \in K$, take $\text{Id}_K(x_1 x_2) = x_1 x_2 = \text{Id}_K(x_1) \text{Id}_K(x_2)$. Hence $\text{Id}_K \in \text{Aut}(K)$ is true.

Lets show that Id_K is the identity of $\text{Aut}(K)$, for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(K)$, take:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id}_K \circ \alpha)(x) &= \text{Id}_K(\alpha(x)) \\ &= \alpha(x) \\ &= \alpha(\text{Id}_K(x)) \\ &= (\alpha \circ \text{Id}_K)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence Id_K is the identity for $\text{Aut}(K)$.

For inverse; let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(K)$. Since α is bijective, there exist α^{-1} st

$$\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = \text{Id}_K = \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha.$$

Lets show that $\alpha^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(K)$. Obviously α^{-1} is bijective. Lets show α^{-1} is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take: } \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(xy)) &= xy \\ &= xy \quad \forall x, y \in K \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take: } \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(y)) &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x))\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(y)) \\ &= xy \quad \forall x, y \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Hence: $\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(xy)) = \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(y))$

Since α is injective:

$\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(xy) = \alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(y) \quad \forall x, y \in K$

Hence α^{-1} is a homomorphism, $\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(K)$

Hence $\forall \alpha \in \text{Aut}(K) \quad \exists \alpha^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(K)$ st

$\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = \text{Id}_K = \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha$

Hence \circ is the group product of $\text{Aut}(K)$.

Q, K groups, $\varphi: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$ group homomorphism.

$K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ is defined as follows

i) As the set $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q = K \times Q$.

ii) The multiplication $*$ on $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ is defined as:

$*(K \times Q) \times (K \times Q) \rightarrow (K \times Q)$

$(k_1, q_1) * (k_2, q_2) = (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2), q_1 q_2)$

Lets show that $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ is a group.

Obviously $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q \neq \emptyset$, since $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ is defined as the set $K \times Q$.

Lets show that $*$ is the group product of $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$

For associativity;

$$\text{Take: } [(k_1, q_1) * (k_2, q_2)] * (k_3, q_3) \text{ for any } k_1, k_2, k_3 \in K \text{ and for any } q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$= (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2), q_1 q_2) * (k_3, q_3)$$

$$= (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2) \varphi(q_1 q_2)(k_3), q_1 q_2 q_3)$$

Since φ is a group homomorphism, hence

$$\dots = (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2) \varphi(q_1)(\varphi(q_2)(k_3)), q_1 q_2 q_3)$$

$$\text{Take: } (k_1, q_1) * [(k_2, q_2) * (k_3, q_3)] \text{ for any } k_1, k_2, k_3 \in K \text{ and for any } q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$= (k_1, q_1) * (k_2 \varphi(q_2)(k_3), q_2 q_3)$$

$$= (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2 \varphi(q_2)(k_3)), q_1 q_2 q_3)$$

Since $\varphi(q_1) \in \text{Aut}(K)$, $\varphi(q_1)$ is a homomorphism, hence

$$\dots = (k_1 \varphi(q_1)(k_2) \varphi(q_1)(\varphi(q_2)(k_3)), q_1 q_2 q_3)$$

Hence:

$$[(k_1, q_1) * (k_2, q_2)] * (k_3, q_3) = (k_1, q_1) * [(k_2, q_2) * (k_3, q_3)]$$

Hence the product $*$ is associative.

For identity, let's show that $(1, 1)$ is the identity for $K \times \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\text{Take: } (k, q) * (1, 1) \text{ for any } k \in K, q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$= (k \varphi(q)(1), q)$$

$$= (k, q) \text{ since } \varphi(q)(1) = 1 \quad \forall q \in Q.$$

Take: $(1, 1) * (k, q)$ for any $k \in K, q \in Q$.

$$= (1 \varphi(1)(k), q)$$

$$= (k, q) \text{ since } \varphi(1)(k) = \text{Id}_K(k) = k \quad \forall k \in K$$

$$\text{Hence: } (1, 1) * (k, q) = (k, q) = (k, q) * (1, 1)$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ is the identity for $K \rtimes Q$.

For inverse; let's show $(\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1})$ is the inverse for (k, q) for any $q \in Q, k \in K$

$$\text{Take: } (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1}) * (k, q)$$

$$= (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}) \varphi(q^{-1})(k), q^{-1} q)$$

$$= (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}) \varphi(q^{-1})(k), 1)$$

Since $\varphi(q^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(K)$, so $\varphi(q^{-1})$ is a homomorphism hence;

$$\dots = (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}k), 1)$$

$$= (\varphi(q)(1), 1)$$

$$= (1, 1) \text{ since } \varphi(q)(1) = 1 \quad \forall q \in Q$$

$$\text{Take: } (k, q) * (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1}) \leftarrow$$

$$= (k\varphi(q)(\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1})), qq^{-1})$$

$$= (k\varphi(q)(\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1})), 1)$$

Since φ is a group homomorphism, hence

$$\dots = (k\varphi(qq^{-1})(k^{-1}), 1)$$

$$= (k\varphi(1)(k^{-1}), 1)$$

$$= (kk^{-1}, 1) \text{ Since } \varphi(1)(k^{-1}) = \text{Id}_K(k^{-1}).$$

$$= (1, 1).$$

Hence:

$$(\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1}) * (k, q) = (1, 1) = (k, q) * (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1})$$

Hence $\forall (k, q) \in K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q \exists (k, q)^{-1} = (\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1}) \in K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ st $(k, q)^{-1} * (k, q) = (k, q)^{-1} * (k, q) = (1, 1)$

Hence $(\varphi(q^{-1})(k^{-1}), q^{-1})$ is the inverse in $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$.

Hence $K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q$ is a group;

$$K \rtimes_{\varphi} Q = (K \times Q, *, (1, 1)).$$

$$i) \text{Aut}(C_{14}) = \{ \varphi_i : (i, 14) = 1 \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14}) = \{ \varphi_1, \varphi_3, \varphi_5, \varphi_9, \varphi_{11}, \varphi_{13} \}$$

Pick $\alpha = \phi_3$

So $\alpha^2 = \phi_9$, $\alpha^3 = \phi_{13}$, $\alpha^4 = \phi_{11}$, $\alpha^5 = \phi_5$,
 $\alpha^6 = \phi_1$.

α generates $\text{Aut}(C_{14})$, hence:

$$\text{Aut}(C_{14}) \cong C_6$$

where $C_6 = \langle \alpha \mid \alpha^6 = 1 \rangle$.

ii) $\varphi: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$.

Since $\text{Aut}(C_{14}) \cong C_6$, let's find all homomorphism $h: C_3 \rightarrow C_6$ where:

$$C_6 = \langle \alpha \mid \alpha^6 = 1 \rangle$$

$$C_3 = \langle \beta \mid \beta^3 = 1 \rangle$$

There exist a homomorphism $h: C_3 \rightarrow C_6$ where $h(\beta) = \alpha^r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq 6 \iff \text{ord}(\alpha^r) \mid 3$.

Take $\text{ord}(\alpha^r) \mid 3$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ord}(\alpha^r) = 1, 3$$

Case 1: $\text{ord}(\alpha^r) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{6}{\text{HCF}(r, 6)} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow r = 6 \quad (\alpha^6 = 1).$$

Hence $h_0(\beta) = 1$ is a homomorphism.

Case 2: $\text{ord}(\alpha^r) = 3$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{6}{\text{HCF}(r, 6)} = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = \text{HCF}(r, 6)$$

$$\Rightarrow r = 2, 4$$

Hence $h_1(\beta) = \alpha^2$, $h_2(\beta) = \alpha^4$ are homomorphisms.

Recall that $\text{Aut}(C_{14}) \cong C_6$ where $1 = \phi_1$, $\alpha^2 = \phi_3$, $\alpha^4 = \phi_5$. Hence all the homomorphisms $\psi: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$ are:

$$\psi_0(\beta) = 1$$

$$\psi_1(\beta) = \phi_3$$

$$\psi_2(\beta) = \phi_5$$

— / —

Ansatz: Suppose that if F and G are groups, G is abelian and F is non-abelian then $G \not\cong F$.

Lets prove that $G \not\cong F$, by contradiction suppose that $G \cong F \Rightarrow$ there exist a homomorphism $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$.

Since G is abelian, for all $x, y \in G$
 $xy = yx$.

Take: $\tau(xy) = \tau(x)\tau(y)$

Take: $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$
 $= \tau(y)\tau(x)$

Hence $\tau(x)\tau(y) = \tau(y)\tau(x)$ for all $\tau(x), \tau(y) \in F \Rightarrow F$ is abelian, this is a contradiction from the hypothesis. Hence $G \not\cong F$.

Lets find the distinct groups isomorphic to $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho} C_3$, for some homomorphism, $\rho: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$, where:

$$C_3 = \langle \beta \mid \beta^3 = 1 \rangle$$

$$C_{14} = \langle \sigma \mid \sigma^{14} = 1 \rangle$$

Lets do the critical calculation for $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_0} C_3$, $\rho_0: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$.

Take $B = (1, \beta)$, $C = (\sigma, 1)$

$$B * C = (1, \beta) * (\sigma, 1) = \beta$$

$$= (\rho_0(\beta)(\sigma), \beta)$$

$$= (\phi_1(\sigma), \beta)$$

$$= (\sigma, \beta)$$

$$= C * B.$$

Hence:

$$C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_0} C_3 = \langle B, C \mid B^3 = C^{14} = 1, BC = CB \rangle.$$

Lets do the critical calculation for $C_{14} \rtimes_{\varphi_1} C_3$,
 $\varphi_1: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$.

Take $B = (1, \beta)$, $C = (\sigma, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} B * C &= (1, \beta) * (\sigma, 1) \\ &= (\varphi_1(\beta)(\sigma), \beta) \\ &= (\phi_1(\sigma), \beta) \\ &= (\sigma^9, \beta) \\ &= C^9 * B \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$C_{14} \rtimes_{\varphi_1} C_3 = \langle B, C \mid B^3 = C^{14} = 1, BC = C^9 B \rangle.$$

Lets do the critical calculation for $C_{14} \rtimes_{\varphi_2} C_3$,
 $\varphi_2: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$

Take $B = (1, \beta)$, $C = (\sigma, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} B * C &= (1, \beta) * (\sigma, 1) \\ &= (\varphi_2(\beta)(\sigma), \beta) \\ &= (\phi_{11}(\sigma), \beta) \\ &= (\sigma^{11}, \beta) \\ &= C^{11} * B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_2} C_3 = \langle B, C \mid B^3 = C^{14} = 1, BC = C^{11}B \rangle$$

Observe that $\text{ord}(\beta) = \text{ord}(\beta^2) = 3$, hence β and β^2 can be considered as generators for C_3 . Hence let's do a different critical calculation for $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_2} C_3$, $\rho_2: C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{14})$.

Let $D = (1, \delta)$, $C = (\gamma, 1)$ where $\delta = \beta^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} D * C &= (1, \delta) * (\gamma, 1) \\ &= (\rho_2(\delta)(\gamma), \delta) \\ &= (\rho_2(\beta^2)(\gamma), \delta) \\ &= (\phi_9(\gamma), \delta) \\ &= (\gamma^9, \delta) \\ &= C^9 * D \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_2} C_3 = \langle D, C \mid D^3 = C^{14} = 1, DC = C^9D \rangle$$

Hence $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_1} C_3 \cong C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_2} C_3$ since switching the generators $\beta \leftrightarrow \beta^2$ gives the description.

Also $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_0} C_3 \not\cong C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_1} C_3$ since $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_0} C_3$ is abelian and $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho_1} C_3$ is non-abelian.

Hence there are two distinct groups isomorphic to $C_{14} \rtimes_{\rho} C_3$.

They are:

$$C_{14} \times C_3 = \langle B, C \mid B^3 = C^{14} = 1, BC = C^4B \rangle.$$

$$C_{14} \times C_3 = \langle B, C \mid B^3 = C^{14} = 1, BC = C^2B \rangle.$$

— / —

2) See paper guide for 2012, question 2 part of 4

Lets show for any $x, y \in X$ then either $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle = \emptyset$ or $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$.

It suffices to prove that if $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle \neq \emptyset$ then $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$.

$$\text{If } \langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists k \in \langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle.$$

Hence $k \in \langle x \rangle \Rightarrow k = g \circ x$ for some $g \in G$.

Hence $k \in \langle y \rangle \Rightarrow k = h \circ y$ for some $h \in G$.

$$\Rightarrow g \circ x = h \circ y$$

$$\Rightarrow x = g^{-1} \circ h \circ y \quad \text{and} \quad y = h^{-1} \circ g \circ x.$$

Take any element $\gamma \circ x \in \langle x \rangle$ for some $\gamma \in G$, observe that:

$$\gamma \circ x = \gamma \circ g^{-1} \circ h \circ y$$

Since $\gamma \circ g^{-1} \circ h \in G$.

$$\Rightarrow \gamma \circ x \in \langle y \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle \subset \langle y \rangle.$$

Take any element $\beta \circ y \in \langle y \rangle$ for some $\beta \in G$, observe that

$$\beta \circ y = \beta \circ h^{-1} \circ g \circ x$$

Since $\beta h^{-1}g \in G$.

$$\Rightarrow \beta o y \in \langle x \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle y \rangle \subset \langle x \rangle.$$

Hence if $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle = \emptyset$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle \subset \langle y \rangle \subset \langle x \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle.$$

Hence it suffices to take x_1, \dots, x_m in the set X representing the distinct orbits; the set-theoretic class equation is:

$$X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \langle x_i \rangle.$$

Taking the cardinals, the numerical form of the class equation is:

$$|X| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle x_i \rangle|$$

Lets prove there exist a bijection between $\langle x \rangle \leftrightarrow G/G_x$, where $G/G_x = \{gG_x : g \in G\}$

Define the mapping:

$$\psi: G/G_x \rightarrow \langle x \rangle.$$

$$\psi(gG_x) = g \circ x.$$

Lets show that ψ is well defined:

Take : $g_1 G_x = g_2 G_x$

$$\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} g_1 \in G_x$$

$$\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} g_1 \circ x = x$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 \circ x = g_2 \circ x.$$

Hence ψ is well defined.

Lets show ψ is injective.

Take $\psi(g_1 G_x) = \psi(g_2 G_x)$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 \circ x = g_2 \circ x$$

$$\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} g_1 \circ x = x$$

$$\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} g_1 \in G_x$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 G_x = g_2 G_x.$$

Hence ψ is injective.

Lets show ψ is surjective.

For any element in $\langle x \rangle$ will have the form $g \circ x$ for some $g \in G$.

Using that $g \in G$, there clearly exist $g G_x$ st

$$\psi(g G_x) = g \circ x.$$

Hence ψ is surjective.

Hence ψ is a bijective mapping.

Hence it suffices to say:

$$|\langle x_i \rangle| = |G| / |G_{x_i}|$$

Hence the numerical class equation becomes

$$|X| = \sum_{i=1}^m |G| / |G_{x_i}|$$

where x_1, \dots, x_m is the set of coset representatives.

c, ii) $\circ : D_{14} \times D_{14} \rightarrow D_{14} ; g \circ h = ghg^{-1}$.

$$D_{14} = \langle x, y \mid x^7 = 1, y^2 = 1, yx = x^6y \rangle$$

$$D_{14} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, y, xy, x^2y, x^3y, x^4y, x^5y, x^6y\}$$

Lets find the distinct orbitals and the stability group.

Lets find $\langle 1 \rangle$

$$\forall g \in D_{14}, g \circ 1 = g1g^{-1} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}, G_1 = D_{14}$$

Lets find $\langle x \rangle$

$$1 \circ x = |x| \\ = x$$

$$x^a \circ x = (x^a)x(x^a)^{-1}$$

Obviously $x^a \circ x = x \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6$

$$y \circ x = yxy^{-1} \\ = yxy \\ = x^6yy \\ = x^6y^2$$

$$(x^a y) \circ x = x^a y x y^{-1} (x^a)^{-1} \\ = x^a y x y (x^a)^{-1} \\ = x^a x^6 (x^a)^{-1}$$

Obviously $(x^a y) \circ x = x^6 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle = \{x, x^6\}, G_x = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}$$

Lets find $\langle x^2 \rangle$;

$$1 \circ x^2 = |x^2| \\ = x^2$$

$$x^a \circ x^2 = (x^a)x^2(x^a)^{-1}$$

Obviously $x^a \circ x = x^2 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6$.

$$y \circ x^2 = yx^2y^{-1} \\ = yx^2y \\ = x^6yx^2y \\ = x^5y^2$$

$$\dots = x^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x^a y) \circ x^2 &= x^a y x^2 y^{-1} (x^a)^{-1} \\ &= x^a x^5 (x^a)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $(x^a y) \circ x^2 = x^5 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6.$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x^2 \rangle = \{x^2, x^5\}, \quad G_{x^2} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}.$$

Lets find $\langle x^3 \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \circ x^3 &= |x^3| \\ &= x^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$x^a \circ x^3 = x^a x^3 (x^a)^{-1}$$

Obviously $x^a \circ x^3 = x^3 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6$

$$\begin{aligned} y \circ x^3 &= y x^3 y^{-1} \\ &= y x^3 y \\ &= x^6 y x^2 y \\ &= x^5 y x y \\ &= x^4 y^2 y \\ &= x^4 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x^a y) \circ x^3 &= x^a y x^3 y^{-1} (x^a)^{-1} \\ &= x^a y x^3 y x^a \\ &= x^a x^4 x^a \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $(x^a y) \circ x^3 = x^4 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, 6$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x^3 \rangle = \{x^3, x^4\}, \quad G_{x^3} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}.$$

Lets find $\langle y \rangle$

$$1 \circ y = |y| \\ = y$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ y &= x y x^{-1} \\ &= x y x^6 \\ &= x x^6 y x^5 \\ &= y x^5 x^4 \\ &= x^9 y x^3 \\ &= x^4 y x^2 \\ &= x^3 y x \\ &= x^2 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 \circ y &= x^2 y x^5 \\ &= x y x^4 \\ &= y x^3 \\ &= x^6 y x^2 \\ &= x^5 y x \\ &= x^4 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 \circ y &= x^3 y x^4 \\ &= x^3 x^6 y x^3 \\ &= x^2 y x^3 \\ &= x y x^2 \\ &= y x \\ &= x^6 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 \circ y &= x^4 y x^3 \\ &= x^4 x^6 y x^2 \\ &= x^3 y x^2 \\ &= x^2 y x \end{aligned}$$

$$\dots = xy$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^5 \circ y &= x^5 y x^2 \\ &= x^5 x^6 y x \\ &= x^4 y x \\ &= x^3 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^6 \circ y &= x^6 y x \\ &= x^6 x^6 y \\ &= x^5 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y \circ y &= y y y^{-1} \\ &= y y y \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x^a y) \circ y &= x^a y y y^{-1} (x^a)^{-1} \\ &= x^a y (x^a)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x y \circ y &= x^2 y \\ x^2 y \circ y &= x^4 y \\ x^3 y \circ y &= x^6 y \\ x^4 y \circ y &= x y \\ x^5 y \circ y &= x^3 y \\ x^6 y \circ y &= x^5 y \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle y \rangle = \{y, xy, x^2y, x^3y, x^4y, x^5y, x^6y\}, G_y = \{1, y\}$$

iii) Recall:

$$\langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}$$

$$\langle x \rangle = \{x, x^6\}$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \{x^2, x^5\}$$

$$\langle x^3 \rangle = \{x^3, x^4\}$$

$$\langle y \rangle = \{y, xy, x^2y, x^3y, x^4y, x^5y, x^6y\}$$

$$G_1 = D_{14}$$

$$G_x = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}$$

$$G_{x^2} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}$$

$$G_{x^3} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6\}$$

$$G_y = \{1, y\}$$

The set-theoretic class equation:

$$D_{14} = \langle 1 \rangle \amalg \langle x \rangle \amalg \langle x^2 \rangle \amalg \langle x^3 \rangle \amalg \langle y \rangle$$

$$= \{1\} \amalg \{x, x^6\} \amalg \{x^2, x^5\} \amalg \{x^3, x^4\} \amalg \{y, xy, x^2y, x^3y, x^4y, x^5y, x^6y\}$$

The numerical class equation:

$$|D_{14}| = |\langle 1 \rangle| + |\langle x \rangle| + |\langle x^2 \rangle| + |\langle x^3 \rangle| + |\langle y \rangle|$$

$$= 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 7$$

Using the other form of the numerical class equation:

$$|D_{14}| = |D_{14}|/|G_1| + |D_{14}|/|G_x| + |D_{14}|/|G_{x^2}| +$$

$$|D_{14}|/|G_{x^3}| + |D_{14}|/|G_y|$$

$$= 14/14 + 14/7 + 14/7 + 14/7 + 14/2$$

3) Ansatz 1: Suppose K is a subgroup of the group G and $K \triangleleft G$ then product:

$$* : G/K \times G/K \rightarrow G/K$$

$$(gK) * (hK) = (gh)K.$$

is well defined and a group product on G/K .

Ansatz 2: Suppose K, Q are a subgroup of the group G , if Q normalises K then

$$KQ = \{ kq : k \in K, q \in Q \}$$

is a subgroup of G and $K \triangleleft KQ$.

Ansatz 3: Noether's 0th Isomorphism Theorem; Suppose G, H are groups and $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism then

$$G/\text{Ker}(\alpha) \cong \text{Im}(\alpha)$$

Lets show that $K \triangleleft G$ where $K = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$, assuming K is a subgroup of G it suffices to show $gkg^{-1} \in K \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K$.

Take

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(gkg^{-1}) \\ &= \alpha(gk)\alpha(g^{-1}) \\ &= \alpha(g)\alpha(k)\alpha(g^{-1}) \\ &= \alpha(g)\alpha(g^{-1}) \quad \text{since } \alpha(k) = 1 \quad \forall k \in K \\ &= \alpha(gg^{-1}) \\ &= \alpha(1) \\ &= 1 \quad \forall k \in K, \forall g \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $gkg^{-1} \in K \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K$. Hence K is true. Hence G/K is a group under the product

Lets show the hypothesis, define the mapping:

$$\psi: G/K \rightarrow \text{Im}(\alpha)$$

$$\psi(gK) = \alpha(g)$$

Assuming ψ is a well defined bijective mapping it suffices to show ψ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take } \psi((g_1K) * (g_2K)) &= \psi((g_1g_2)K) \\ &= \alpha(g_1g_2) \\ &= \alpha(g_1)\alpha(g_2) \\ &= \psi(g_1K) \psi(g_2K) \end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ is a homomorphism, hence ψ is an isomorphism i.e. $G/\text{Ker}(\alpha) \cong \text{Im}(\alpha)$

P, Q subgroups, G group.

P normalizes Q means $\forall p \in P, \forall q \in Q$
 $pqp^{-1} \in Q$.

To prove $PQ/Q \cong P/(P \cap Q)$ when P normalizes Q , define the mapping:

$$\nu: P \rightarrow PQ/Q.$$

$$\nu(p) = pQ.$$

where $PQ/Q = \{ hQ : h \in PH \}$

Lets show ν is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take } & \nu(p_1 p_2) \\ &= (p_1 p_2)Q \\ &= (p_1 Q) * (p_2 Q) \\ &= \nu(p_1) \nu(p_2) \quad \forall p_1, p_2 \in P \end{aligned}$$

Hence ν is a homomorphism, hence

$$P/\text{Ker}(\nu) \cong \text{Im}(\nu)$$

Lets show $\text{Im}(\nu) = PQ/Q$ i.e ν is surjective.

Observe for any arbitrary element in PQ/Q is of the form pqQ for some $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. Observe that $pqQ = pQ$ since Q is a subgroup hence it has closure. Hence taking the $p \in P$ it suffices to say $\nu(p) = pQ$. So ν is surjective.

$$\text{Hence } \text{Im}(\nu) = PQ/Q.$$

Lets show $\text{Ker}(\nu) = P \cap Q$.

By definition:

$$\text{Ker}(\nu) = \{ p \in P : \nu(p) = Q \}$$

Note that Q is defined to be the identity of the group PQ/P .

Take $\nu(p) = Q$

$$\Rightarrow pQ = Q$$

$$\Rightarrow p \in Q$$

But $p \in P$ hence $p \in P \cap Q$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ker}(\nu) = P \cap Q$$

Hence:

$$P/(P \cap Q) \cong PQ/Q$$

is proven.

Ansatz: Let G be a group and X a set. By a left action of G in X means a mapping

$$* : G \times X \rightarrow X$$

$$*(g, x) = g * x$$

such that:

- i) $\forall g, h \in G \quad \forall x \in X \quad (gh) * x = g * h * x$
- ii) $\forall x \in X \quad 1 * x = x$

Ansatz: If X is a set where $|X|=n$ and $k \geq 0$ an integer and

$$\tilde{X} = \{A \subset X : |A|=k\}.$$

Then: $|\tilde{X}| = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$

To prove this hypothesis observe that the number of ordered k -tuple of distinct elements of X is $n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)$.

Each k -subset of X occurs $k!$ times

\Rightarrow The number of k subsets for X is

$$\frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} = \binom{n}{k}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{X}| = \binom{n}{k}$$

Wilson's theorem: Let p be a prime and $k \geq 0$ integer. Then

$$\binom{kp^n}{p^n} \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

Proof: Let P be a group st $|P|=p^n$.

Define the set X as $X = P \times \{1, \dots, k\}$
hence $|X|=kp^n$.

Define the left action of the group P acting on X as:

$$\bullet P \times X \rightarrow X$$

$$g \cdot (h, i) = (gh, i).$$

Define the set S' as $S' = \{A \subset X : |A| = p^n\}$.
By the ansatz:

$$|S'| = \binom{kp^n}{p^n}$$

Define the left action of the group P acting on S' as

$$* : P \times S' \rightarrow S'$$

$$g * A = gA$$

where $gA = \{ga : a \in A\}$.

Since $|P| = p^n$ and $*$ is a left action of the group P on S' then:

$$|S'| = |S'^P| \pmod{p}$$

where $|S'^P| = \{A \in S' : \forall g \in P \quad g * A = A\}$.

$$\Rightarrow \binom{kp^n}{p^n} = |S'^P| \pmod{p}.$$

Lets show $|S'^P| = k$

Hence let's show for all $H \in S^P$, $H = P \times \{r\}$ for some $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Hence let's show $P \times \{r\} \subset H$. For any arbitrary element $a \in P \times \{r\}$ will have the form $a = (h, r)$ for some $h \in P$.

$$\text{Take } g \cdot (h, r) = (gh, r)$$

Since $gh \in P$ for any $g \in P \Rightarrow (gh, r) \in H$ hence $P \times \{r\} \subset H$. Since $|P \times \{r\}| = |H| = p^n$

$$\Rightarrow P \times \{r\} = H.$$

$$\Rightarrow P \times \{r\} \in S^P \quad \forall r \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Since $r = 1, \dots, k$

$$\Rightarrow |S^P| = k$$

$$\Rightarrow \binom{kp^n}{p^n} = k \pmod{p}.$$

See paper guide for 2012, question 3, part 2 of 3.

Erratum: Question 4 part 1 of 2 is missing the following ansatzes:

Ansatz 1: Let K a group. $\text{Aut}(K)$ is a group under the product:

$$\circ : \text{Aut}(K) \times \text{Aut}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K).$$

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)(x) = \alpha(\beta(x)) \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Ansatz 2: Let Q and K be subgroups of the group G and $h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$ is a homomorphism. $K \rtimes_h Q$ is a group under the product:

$$* (K \rtimes_h Q) \times (K \rtimes_h Q) \rightarrow (K \rtimes_h Q)$$

$$(k_1, q_1) * (k_2, q_2) = (k_1 h(q_1)(k_2), q_1 q_2).$$



Factorise $x^2 + 5x + 6$
The numbers which add to 5 and multiply to 6 are 2 and 3.
Therefore $x^2 + 5x + 6 = (x+2)(x+3)$

$$x^2 + 5x + 6 = (x+2)(x+3)$$

Factorise $x^2 - 7x + 12$
The numbers which add to -7 and multiply to 12 are -3 and -4.
Therefore $x^2 - 7x + 12 = (x-3)(x-4)$

Factorise $x^2 - 10x + 24$
The numbers which add to -10 and multiply to 24 are -4 and -6.
Therefore $x^2 - 10x + 24 = (x-4)(x-6)$

Factorise $x^2 + 11x + 28$
The numbers which add to 11 and multiply to 28 are 4 and 7.
Therefore $x^2 + 11x + 28 = (x+4)(x+7)$

Factorise $x^2 - 13x + 40$
The numbers which add to -13 and multiply to 40 are -5 and -8.
Therefore $x^2 - 13x + 40 = (x-5)(x-8)$

Factorise $x^2 + 15x + 50$
The numbers which add to 15 and multiply to 50 are 5 and 10.
Therefore $x^2 + 15x + 50 = (x+5)(x+10)$

Factorise $x^2 - 17x + 60$
The numbers which add to -17 and multiply to 60 are -5 and -12.
Therefore $x^2 - 17x + 60 = (x-5)(x-12)$

Factorise $x^2 + 19x + 84$
The numbers which add to 19 and multiply to 84 are 7 and 12.
Therefore $x^2 + 19x + 84 = (x+7)(x+12)$

4) K, Q subgroups, G group.

Lets show that $K \cap Q = \{1\}$. By contradiction suppose $K \cap Q \neq \{1\}$ i.e $\exists k \neq 1$ st $k \in K \cap Q$.
 \Rightarrow By Lagrange $\text{ord}(k) \mid |K|$ and $\text{ord}(k) \mid |Q|$.
 $\Rightarrow \text{HCF}(|K|, |Q|) = \text{ord}(k) > 1$. This is a contradiction since $\text{HCF}(|K|, |Q|) = 1$. Hence $K \cap Q = \{1\}$ is true.

Lets define $K \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$, for some homomorphism $h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$.

Define the mapping

$$h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$$

$$h(q)(k) = qkq^{-1}$$

$h(q)$ is a well defined mapping since $K \triangleleft G$, lets show h is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take } h(q_1 q_2)(k) &= (q_1 q_2)(k)(q_1 q_2)^{-1} \\ &= q_1 q_2 k q_2^{-1} q_1^{-1} \\ &= h(q_1)(q_2 k q_2^{-1}) \\ &= h(q_1)(h(q_2)(k)) \\ &= h(q_1) \circ h(q_2)(k). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow h(q_1 q_2)(k) = h(q_1) \circ h(q_2)(k).$$

Hence h is a homomorphism.

Hence $K \rtimes_h Q$ for some homomorphism $h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$ is defined.

Lets prove $G \cong K \rtimes_h Q$, define the mapping

$$\Phi: K \rtimes_h Q \rightarrow G.$$

$$\Phi(k, q) = kq.$$

Lets show Φ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take: } \Phi((k_1, q_1) * (k_2, q_2)) &= \Phi(k_1 h(q_1)(k_2), q_1 q_2) \\ &= \Phi(k_1 q_1 k_2 q_1^{-1}, q_1 q_2) \\ &= k_1 q_1 k_2 q_1^{-1} q_1 q_2 \\ &= k_1 q_1 k_2 q_2 \\ &= \Phi(k_1, q_1) \Phi(k_2, q_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ is a homomorphism

Lets show Φ is injective:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Take: } \Phi(k_1, q_1) &= \Phi(k_2, q_2) \\ \Rightarrow k_1 q_1 &= k_2 q_2 \\ \Rightarrow k_2^{-1} k_1 &= q_2 q_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $k_2^{-1} k_1 \in K$ and $q_2 q_1^{-1} \in Q$ but $k_2^{-1} k_1 = q_2 q_1^{-1}$

Hence $q_2 q_1^{-1} \in K$ and $k_2^{-1} k_1 \in Q$ but $K \cap Q = \{1\}$

$$\Rightarrow q_2 q_1^{-1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_2^{-1} k_1 = 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow q_1 = q_2 \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 = k_2.$$

Hence Φ is injective.

Lets show Φ is surjective.

Since $K \rtimes_h Q$ is defined as the set $K \times Q$.

$$\Rightarrow |K \rtimes_h Q| = |K \times Q| = |K| |Q|$$

Since Φ is an injective homomorphism between two finite sets of the same cardinal, $|K| |Q| = |G|$.
hence Φ is surjective.

Hence Φ is an isomorphism i.e. $G \cong K \rtimes_h Q$
for some homomorphism $h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$.

Ansatz: Suppose that Q is subgroup of the group G then $g Q g^{-1}$ is also a subgroup of G ,
 $\forall g \in G$ and $|g Q g^{-1}| = |Q|$.

Lets state Sylow's theorem: Suppose p is prime and G is a finite group with $|G| = k p^n$ where $p \nmid k$ then:

- i) G has at least one subgroup of order p^n .
- ii) If N_p be the number of subgroups of G of order p^n . Then $N_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Lets show G has a normal subgroup of order 29.

Observe $|G| = 725 = 5^2 \times 29$, 5 and 29 are prime and $5^2 \nmid 29$.

By Sylow's theorem $\exists K$ subgroup of G st $|K| = 29$. Since 29 is prime, $K \cong C_{29}$.

By Sylow's theorem:

$$N_{29} \equiv 1 \pmod{29}.$$

Lets prove that $N_{29} = 1$, by contradiction suppose $N_{29} \neq 1 \Rightarrow N_{29} \geq 30$ i.e there exist at least K_1, \dots, K_{30} distinct subgroups of order 29. Since 29 is prime $K_i \cong C_{29}$ for each i .

Lets prove that $K_i \cap K_j = \{1\} \forall i \neq j$. By contradiction suppose that $K_i \cap K_j \neq \{1\}$ i.e $\exists k \neq 1$ st $k \in K_i \cap K_j$. Hence $\text{ord}(k) = 29 \Rightarrow k$ will generate both K_i and $K_j \Rightarrow K_i = K_j$. This is a contradiction as K_i and K_j are distinct subgroups. Hence $K_i \cap K_j = \{1\}$ is true.

Hence there exist at least $30 \times (29 - 1) = 840$ elements in G but $|G| = 725 \neq 840$ hence contradiction. Hence $N_{29} = 1$ is true.

Since $N_{29} = 1 \Rightarrow K \cong C_{29}$ is a unique subgroup of order 29

Since gKg^{-1} is also a subgroup of order 29

hence by uniqueness:

$$gKg^{-1} = K \quad \forall g \in G.$$

$$\Rightarrow K \triangleleft G.$$

G has a normal subgroup of order 29.

Let's classify all groups of order 725.

By Sylow's theorem \exists Q subgroup of G st $|Q| = 25$.

Since K, Q are groups of G where $(|K|, |Q|) = 1$ i.e. K, Q are of coprime order and $K \triangleleft G$ and $|G| = |K||Q|$. By the previous hypothesis $G \cong K \rtimes_h Q$ for some homomorphism $h: Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$.

Since $K \cong C_{29}$ and $|Q| = 25 \Rightarrow$ either $Q \cong C_{25}$ or $Q \cong C_5 \times C_5$. Hence consider the cases:

i) $G \cong C_{29} \rtimes_h C_{25}$

ii) $G \cong C_{29} \rtimes_h (C_5 \times C_5)$.

where:

$$C_{29} = \langle a \mid a^{29} = 1 \rangle$$

$$C_{25} = \langle b \mid b^{25} = 1 \rangle$$

$$C_5 \times C_5 = \langle c, d \mid c^5 = d^5 = 1, cd = dc \rangle.$$

Case 1: $G \cong C_{29} \rtimes_h C_{25}$, for some homomorphism $h: C_{25} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$.

Let's find all homomorphisms $h: C_{25} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$,
Since 29 is prime $\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29}) \cong C_{28}$ and

$(25, 28) = 1$ hence it suffices to say that the only homomorphism is $h_0(b) = \phi_1$ (i.e. the trivial homomorphism)

Lets do the critical calculation for $C_{29} \rtimes_{h_0} C_{25}$;
 $h_0: C_{25} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$.

Take: $B = (1, b)$, $A = (a, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} B * A &= (1, b) * (a, 1) \\ &= (h_0(b)(a), b) \\ &= (\phi_1(a), b) \\ &= (a, b) \\ &= A * B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$C_{29} \rtimes_{h_0} C_{25} = \langle A, B \mid A^{29} = B^{25} = 1, BA = AB \rangle$$

Case 2: $G \cong C_{29} \rtimes_{h_0} (C_5 \times C_5)$, for some homomorphism $h_0: C_5 \times C_5 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$.

Let find all homomorphism $h_0: C_5 \times C_5 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$
Since 29 is prime $\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29}) \cong C_{28}$ and $(5, 28) = 1$ hence it suffices to say that the only homomorphism is $h_0(c) = \phi_1$, $h_0(d) = \phi_1$ (i.e. the trivial homomorphism).

Lets do the critical calculation for $C_{29} \rtimes_{h_0} (C_5 \times C_5)$;
 $h_0: C_5 \times C_5 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{29})$.

Take $C = (1, c)$, $D = (1, d)$, $A = (a, 1)$

$$C * A = (1, c) * (a, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (h_0(c)(a), c) \\ &= (\phi_1(a), c) \\ &= (a, c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D * A &= (1, d) * (a, 1) \\ &= (h_0(d)(a), d) \\ &= (\phi_1(a), d) \\ &= (a, d) \\ &= A * D \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C_{29} \times_{\text{inh}} (C_5 \times C_5) = \langle A, C, D \mid A^{29} = C^5 = D^5, CD = DC, CA = AC, DA = AD \rangle$$

Hence there are two distinct groups of order 729 either:

$$G \cong \langle A, B \mid A^{29} = B^{25} = 1, BA = AB \rangle$$

or

$$G \cong \langle A, C, D \mid A^{29} = C^5 = D^5, CD = DC, CA = AC, DA = AD \rangle$$

— / —

5) See paper guide for 2012, question 5, part 1 of 4.

Ansatz: Suppose that $\deg p(x) = 2$ then $p(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F} $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in \mathbb{F}, p(a) \neq 0$

Let $p(x) = x^2 + x + 2,$

$$p(0) = 2 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(1) = 4 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(2) = 3 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(3) = 4 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(4) = 2 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

Hence $p(x) = x^2 + x + 2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_5 .

Let $p(x) = x^2 + 2x + 3$

$$p(0) = 3 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(1) = 1 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(2) = 1 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(3) = 3 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$p(4) = 2 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$\rho: \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + x + 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + 2x + 3)$$

Observe that $x^2 + x + 2 \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + x + 2)$

Observe that when $x \mapsto -2x$ then

$$x^2 + x + 2 \mapsto (-2x)^2 + (-2x) + 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 4x^2 + 3x + 2 \\ &= -y^2 - 2x - 3 \\ &= -(y^2 + 2x + 3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x^2 + x + 2 \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + 2x + 3)$.

Using the observation, define the mapping:

$$f: \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + x + 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + 2x + 3)$$

$$f(ax + b) = -2ax + b$$

Let's show that there is a ring homomorphism:

For addition; take:

$$\begin{aligned} &f((a_1x + b_1) + (a_2x + b_2)) \\ &= f((a_1 + a_2)x + (b_1 + b_2)) \\ &= -2(a_1 + a_2)x + (b_1 + b_2) \\ &= (-2a_1x + b_1) + (-2a_2x + b_2) \\ &= f(a_1x + b_1) + f(a_2x + b_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence: $f((a_1x + b_1) + (a_2x + b_2)) = f(a_1x + b_1) + f(a_2x + b_2)$

For multiplication; take:

$$\begin{aligned} &f(a_1x + b_1) f(a_2x + b_2) \\ &= (-2a_1x + b_1)(-2a_2x + b_2) \\ &= 4a_1a_2x^2 - 2a_1b_2x - 2a_2b_1x + b_1b_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^2 = 3x + 2$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + 2x + 3)$, hence:

$$\dots = 4a_1a_2(3x + 2) - 2a_1b_2x - 2a_2b_1x + b_1b_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &= 12a_1a_2x + 8a_1a_2 - 2a_1b_2x - 2a_2b_1x + b_1b_2 \\ &= 2a_1a_2x + 3a_1b_2x + 3a_2b_1x + b_1b_2 + 3a_1a_2 \end{aligned}$$

Take: $f((a_1x+b_1) \cdot (a_2x+b_2))$
 $= f(a_1a_2x^2 + a_1b_2x + a_2b_1x + b_1b_2)$

Since $x^2 = 4x+3$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+x+2)$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &= f(a_1a_2(4x+3) + a_1b_2x + a_2b_1x + b_1b_2) \\ &= f((4a_1a_2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)x + (3a_1a_2 + b_1b_2)) \\ &= -2(4a_1a_2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)x + (3a_1a_2 + b_1b_2) \\ &= -8a_1a_2x - 2a_1b_2x - 2a_2b_1x + 3a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 \\ &= 2a_1a_2x + 3a_1b_2x + 3a_2b_1x + b_1b_2 + 3a_1a_2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence: $f((a_1x+b_1) \cdot (a_2x+b_2)) = f(a_1x+b_1)f(a_2x+b_2)$

For identity; take:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= f(0x+1) \\ &= -2(0) + 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(1) = 1$.

Hence f is a ring homomorphism.

lets show f is a bijective mapping, hence
 lets show f is injective; take.

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax+b) &= f(a'x+b') \\ \Rightarrow -2ax+b &= -2a'x+b' \\ \Rightarrow -2ax+2a'x+b-b' &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (-2a+2a')x+(b-b') &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -2a + 2a' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b - b' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a = a' \quad \text{and} \quad b = b'$$

$$\Rightarrow ax + b = a'x + b'$$

Hence φ is injective.

Let prove φ is surjective. Obviously;

$$|\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+x+2)| = |\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+2x+3)|$$

Hence φ is a mapping between two finite sets of the same cardinal and φ is injective
 $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is surjective.

Hence φ is bijective ring homomorphism

Hence φ is a ring isomorphism.



Observe that $x^2 = 3x + 2$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+x+2)$

Take:

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 &= x(3x+2) \\ &= 3x^2 + 2x \\ &= 3(3x+2) + 2x \\ &= 9x + 6 + 2x \\ &= x + 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^6 &= x^3 \cdot x^3 \\ &= (x+1)(x+1) \\ &= x^2 + 2x + 1 \\ &= 3x + 2 + 2x + 1 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x^6 = 3$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+2x+3)$.

Take:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{12} &= (x^6)^2 \\ &= 3 \cdot 3 \\ &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{18} &= (x^6)^3 \\ &= 4 \cdot 3 \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{24} &= (x^6)^4 \\ &= 2 \cdot 3 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+2x+3)| = |\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+2x+3) \setminus \{0\}| = 24$. It suffices to say that x generates the group $(\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+2x+3))^*$

— / —

Since ℓ "preserves" the orders of each element, let's find a, b st $\ell(ax+b) = x$.

Take:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(ax+b) &= -2ax+b \\ \Rightarrow -2ax+b &= x \\ \Rightarrow -2a &= 1 \text{ and } b=0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a = 2$ since $-2 \cdot 2 \equiv 3 \cdot 2 \equiv 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$

Hence $2x$ is a generator for $(\mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2+x+2))^*$.

— / —

6) See paper guide for 2012, question 6, part of 5.

$a(x)$ has a proper factorization over \mathbb{Z} when there is a factorisation:

$$a(x) = b(x)c(x)$$

where $b(x), c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\deg b(x) < \deg a(x)$ and $\deg c(x) < \deg a(x)$

Lets prove the hypothesis by contradiction suppose $a(x)$ is not irreducible over \mathbb{Q} i.e

$$a(x) = \beta(x)\gamma(x)$$

for some $\beta(x), \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ where $\beta(x), \gamma(x)$ are both non constants and $\deg \beta(x) < \deg a(x)$ and $\deg \gamma(x) < \deg a(x)$.

Define:

$M :=$ The lowest common multiple denominators of coefficients of $\beta(x)$

$N :=$ The lowest common multiple denominators of coefficients of $\gamma(x)$.

Hence $M\beta(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], N\gamma(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

So : $MNa(x) = (M\beta(x))(N\gamma(x))$

Put : $b(x) = M\beta(x)$, $c(x) = N\gamma(x)$.

So : $\deg b(x) = \deg \beta(x) < \deg a(x)$
 $\deg c(x) = \deg \gamma(x) < \deg a(x)$

Also $b(x), c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

lets define :

$$A = C'(a)$$

$$B = C'(b)$$

$$C = C'(c)$$

and define :

$$a(x) = A a_0(x) \quad , \quad a_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$b(x) = B b_0(x) \quad , \quad b_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$c(x) = C c_0(x) \quad , \quad c_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

where $C'(a_0) = C'(b_0) = C'(c_0) = 1$

Observe that :

$$\deg a_0(x) = \deg a(x)$$

$$\deg b_0(x) = \deg b(x) = \deg \beta(x)$$

$$\deg c_0(x) = \deg c(x) = \deg \gamma(x)$$

Hence : $MNa(x) = (M\beta(x))(N\gamma(x))$ becomes

$$MNAa_0(x) = BC'b_0(x)c_0(x)$$

Let's find the content of $MNAa_0(x)$ i.e. $C(MNAa_0(x))$. Since $C(a_0) = 1$, it suffices to say $C(MNAa_0(x)) = MNA$.

Let find the content of $BCb_0(x)c_0(x)$ i.e. $C(BCb_0(x)c_0(x))$. Since $C(b_0) = C(c_0) = 1$, by Gauss' lemma $C(b_0c_0) = 1$, it suffices to say $C(BCb_0(x)c_0(x)) = BC$.

Obviously:

$$C(MNAa_0(x)) = C(BCb_0(x)c_0(x)) \\ \Rightarrow MNA = BC$$

$$\text{Hence } MNAa_0(x) = BCb_0(x)c_0(x) \\ \Rightarrow a_0(x) = b_0(x)c_0(x).$$

Multiply both sides by A

$$Aa_0(x) = Ab_0(x)c_0(x) \\ \Rightarrow a(x) = Ab_0(x)c_0(x).$$

where $\deg Ab_0(x) < \deg a(x)$, $\deg c_0(x) < \deg a(x)$ and $Ab_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $c_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

$\Rightarrow a(x)$ has a proper factorisation over \mathbb{Z} .

This is a contradiction from the hypothesis, hence $a(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Ansatz: Assume true that:

i) Each $d(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ integral polynomial.

- ii) Each $c_d(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .
- iii) Each $c_d(x)$ is finite computable.
- iv) $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} c_d(x)$ for each n .

i) Observe that:

$$(x+1)^5 = x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 1$$

Hence:

$$x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 12 = (x+1)^5 + 11.$$

Take the substitution $x+1 \mapsto x$, the polynomial becomes $x^5 + 11$.

Observe that in $x^5 + 11$; $a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$, $a_r \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ when $0 \leq r \leq 4$ and $a_5 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ and 11 is prime. Hence by Eisenstein's Criterion $x^5 + 11$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Hence $x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 12$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

ii) $x^{12} + 2x^6 + 1$,

Observe that:

$$x^{12} + 2x^6 + 1 = (x^6 + 1)(x^6 + 1).$$

2120 Lets find all the irreducible factors of $x^6 + 1$.

Take: $x^{12} - 1 = (x^6 - 1)(x^6 + 1)$

$$\Rightarrow x^6 + 1 = \frac{x^{12} - 1}{x^6 - 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x^6 + 1 &= \frac{\prod_{d|12} C_d(x)}{\prod_{d|6} C_d(x)} \\ &= \frac{C_1(x)C_2(x)C_3(x)C_4(x)C_6(x)C_{12}(x)}{C_1(x)C_2(x)C_3(x)C_6(x)} \\ &= C_4(x)C_{12}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lets find $C_4(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 - 1 &= \prod_{d|4} C_d(x) \\ &= C_1(x)C_2(x)C_4(x) \\ &= (x^2 - 1)C_4(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_4(x) = \frac{x^4 - 1}{x^2 - 1} = x^2 + 1$$

lets find $C_{12}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{12} - 1 &= \prod_{d|12} C_d(x) \\ &= C_1(x)C_2(x)C_3(x)C_4(x)C_6(x)C_{12}(x) \\ &= (x^6 - 1)C_4(x)C_{12}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{12}(x)C_4(x) = x^6 + 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{12}(x) = \frac{x^6 + 1}{x^2 + 1}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{12}(x) = x^4 - x^2 + 1.$$

The polynomial $x^{12} + 2x^6 + 1$ is not irreducible and its complete factorisation over \mathbb{Q} is:

$$x^{12} + 2x^6 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + 1)(x^4 - x^2 + 1)(x^4 - x^2 + 1)$$

